



PERRY JOHNSON LABORATORY ACCREDITATION, INC.

Certificate of Accreditation

Perry Johnson Laboratory Accreditation, Inc. has assessed the Laboratory of:

Tecnofísica Radiológica S.C.
Reforma No. 2220 Ote., Col. Modelo
Monterrey, Nuevo León, México. C.P. 64580

(Hereinafter called the Organization) and hereby declares that Organization is accredited in accordance with the recognized International Standard:

ISO/IEC 17025:2017

This accreditation demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and the operation of a laboratory quality management system (as outlined by the joint ISO-ILAC-IAF Communiqué dated April 2017):

Mechanical Calibration ***(As detailed in the supplement)***

Accreditation claims for such testing and/or calibration services shall only be made from addresses referenced within this certificate. This Accreditation is granted subject to the system rules governing the Accreditation referred to above, and the Organization hereby covenants with the Accreditation body's duty to observe and comply with the said rules.

For PJLA:

Tracy Szerszen
President

Initial Accreditation Date:

October 17, 2019

Issue Date:

November 22, 2021

Expiration Date:

January 31, 2024

Revision Date:

December 01, 2022

Accreditation No.:

99046

Certificate No.:

L21-720-R1

Perry Johnson Laboratory
Accreditation, Inc. (PJLA)
755 W. Big Beaver, Suite 1325
Troy, Michigan 48084

The validity of this certificate is maintained through ongoing assessments based on a continuous accreditation cycle. The validity of this certificate should be confirmed through the PJLA website: www.pjlab.com



Certificate of Accreditation: Supplement

Tecnofísica Radiológica S.C.

Reforma No. 2220 Ote., Col. Modelo

Monterrey, Nuevo León, México. C.P. 64580

Contact Name: Brenda Viridiana Delgado Santos Phone: 811-052-0900

Accreditation is granted to the facility to perform the following calibrations:

Mechanical

MEASURED INSTRUMENT, QUANTITY OR GAUGE	RANGE OR NOMINAL DEVICE SIZE AS APPROPRIATE	CALIBRATION AND MEASUREMENT CAPABILITY EXPRESSED AS AN UNCERTAINTY (\pm)	CALIBRATION EQUIPMENT AND REFERENCE STANDARDS USED
Gamma Ionizing Radiation Exposure Rate or Dose Equivalent Rate			
Personal Alarm ^F	20 μ R/h to 3 R/h 0.2 μ Sv/h to 0.03 Sv/h	1.7 % of reading	NOM-012-NUCL Radiation Sources: Cs-137 Chronometer
Geiger Müller ^F	20 μ R/h to 3 R/h 0.2 μ Sv/h to 0.03 Sv/h	3 % of reading	NOM-012-NUCL Pulser Radiation Sources: Cs-137 Multimeter
Scintillator ^{FO}	20 μ R/h to 3 R/h 0.2 Sv/h to 0.03 Sv/h	5.8 % of reading	NOM-012-NUCL Pulser Radiation Sources: Cs-137 Ruler Scaler-Ratemeter Multimeter Portable Survey Meters Gamma Detector Probe
Ion Chambers ^F	20 μ R/h to 3 R/h 0.2 μ Sv/h to 0.03 Sv/h	3 % of reading	NOM-012-NUCL Pulser
Area Monitor ^{FO}	20 μ R/h to 3 R/h 0.2 μ Sv/h to 0.03 Sv/h	8.9 % of reading	Radiation Sources: Cs-137
Neutron Ionizing Radiation Exposure Rate or Dose Equivalent Rate			
Neutron Detector ^F	2 mRem/h to 8 Rem/h 0.02 mSv/h to 8 Sv/h	11 % of reading	NOM-012-NUCL Pulse Generator Radiation Sources: Cs-137 Am-241/Be Scaler-Ratemeter
Gamma Ionizing Radiation Exposure rate or Dose Equivalent Rate			
Pocket Dosimeter ^F	50 μ R to 3 R 0.5 μ Sv to 0.03 Sv	3.1 % of reading	NOM-012-NUCL Radiation Sources: Cs-137 Chronometer



Certificate of Accreditation: Supplement

Tecnofísica Radiológica S.C.

Reforma No. 2220 Ote., Col. Modelo

Monterrey, Nuevo León, México. C.P. 64580

Contact Name: Brenda Viridiana Delgado Santos Phone: 811-052-0900

Accreditation is granted to the facility to perform the following calibrations:

Mechanical

MEASURED INSTRUMENT, QUANTITY OR GAUGE	RANGE OR NOMINAL DEVICE SIZE AS APPROPRIATE	CALIBRATION AND MEASUREMENT CAPABILITY EXPRESSED AS AN UNCERTAINTY (\pm)	CALIBRATION EQUIPMENT AND REFERENCE STANDARDS USED
Radioactivity			
Dose Calibrator ^{FO}	Cs-137 25 μ Ci to 250 μ Ci Co-57 25 μ Ci to 250 μ Ci Ba-133 25 μ Ci to 5mCi	4.7 % of reading	NOM-012-NUCL Cs-137 Co-57 Ba-133

1. The CMC (Calibration and Measurement Capability) stated for calibrations included on this scope of accreditation represents the smallest measurement uncertainty attainable by the laboratory when performing a more or less routine calibration of a nearly ideal device under nearly ideal conditions. It is typically expressed at a confidence level of 95 % using a coverage factor k (usually equal to 2). The actual measurement uncertainty associated with a specific calibration performed by the laboratory will typically be larger than the CMC for the same calibration since capability and performance of the device being calibrated and the conditions related to the calibration may reasonably be expected to deviate from ideal to some degree.
2. The laboratories range of calibration capability for all disciplines for which they are accredited is the interval from the smallest calibrated standard to the largest calibrated standard used in performing the calibration. The low end of this range must be an attainable value for which the laboratory has or has access to the standard referenced. Verification of an indicated value of zero in the absence of a standard is common practice in the procedure for many calibrations but by its definition it does not constitute calibration of zero capacity.
3. The presence of a superscript F means that the laboratory performs calibration of the indicated parameter at its fixed location. Example: Outside Micrometer^F would mean that the laboratory performs this calibration at its fixed location.
4. The presence of a superscript O means that the laboratory performs calibration of the indicated parameter onsite at customer locations. Example: Outside Micrometer^O would mean that the laboratory performs this calibration onsite at the customer's location.
5. The presence of a superscript FO means that the laboratory performs calibration of the indicated parameter both at its fixed location and onsite at customer locations. Example: Outside Micrometer^{FO} would mean that the laboratory performs this calibration at its fixed location and onsite at customer locations.
6. Measurement uncertainties obtained for calibrations performed at customer sites can be expected to be larger than the measurement uncertainties obtained at the laboratories fixed location for similar calibrations. This is due to the effects of transportation of the standards and equipment and upon environmental conditions at the customer site which are typically not controlled as closely as at the laboratories fixed location.